

Medical Training Survey

Interactive data dashboard - User guide

About the survey

The Medical Training Survey (MTS) is designed as a quality improvement tool, to strengthen postgraduate medical training in Australia. The survey covers a range of elements and these are reflected in the reports:

- Training curriculum
- Orientation
- Assessment
- Clinical supervision
- Access to teaching
- Facilities
- Workplace environment and culture
- Patient safety
- Overall satisfaction
- Future career intentions, and
- Impact of COVID-19 on training (for 2020, 2021 and 2022 dataset)

Demographic and other profiling information has been collected so results can be filtered and compared.

Further information about the survey methodology is contained the national report, accessible on the <u>Reports and results</u> section of this website.

Interpretation/small sample sizes

In 2022, n=22,135 doctors in training responded, with n=20,671 responses eligible for analysis between 28 July and 8 October 2022. Of these, n=18,699 doctors in training passed the 75% point of their respective survey version.

A sample size of n=18,699 gives a maximum margin of error ± 0.7 at a 95% confidence level for questions which were asked of all doctors in training. For some questions, the margin of error may be higher or lower, depending on the number of respondents to that question. A maximum margin of error ± 0.7 at a 95% confidence level means, for example, that if a survey result is 50%, we can be

sure that if we repeat the survey multiple times, 95% of these times the survey result will be between 50.7% and 49.3%.

Survey data are unweighted.

Please note that results are suppressed where the base size (the number of eligible respondents answering a question) is less than 10 – this is to preserve anonymity. Care should be taken in interpreting the data across groups where samples sizes are less than 30.

Purpose of the interactive data dashboard

The interactive data dashboard was designed so users can cut and view the data to reflect their particular interests. There are two parts to the dashboard; the overall snapshot, and the ability to tailor and create your own reports using the MTS results.

Dashboard

Snapshot

2022 SNAPSHOT	2022 SNAPSHOT				
2021 SNAPSHOT	National	response	Average ratin	a score by sta	ite/territory
2020 SNAPSHOT			Current result selec	cted 'Recommend	current training'
2019 SNAPSHOT	Select ● 78 %	Select O			1
FILTER DATA	(n=18,393) Recommend	(n=18,394) Recommend	A Start of	NT	
	Apply	Apply	WA	77% n=347	QLD 79%
	Select O	Select O	79% n=1,950	SA 700/	n=3,802
	(n=19,353) Quality of clinical supervision	(n=19,092) Quality of orientation		/ 8 %0 n=1,362	NSW 77% n=5,447 ACT
	Apply	Apply			VIC 70%
	Select O	Select O			n=4,621

The snapshot provides a high-level snapshot of the MTS results for the selected year. In this instance, you can compare the state/territory to the national response by selecting one of the following survey questions:

- 1. Recommend current training
- 2. Recommend current workplace
- 3. Quality of clinical supervision
- 4. Quality of orientation
- 5. Quality of teaching sessions
- 6. Quality of training to raise patient safety concerns

Filter data

2022 SNAPSHOT	FILIER DAIA		
2021 SNAPSHOT	Filters	Average ra	ating score by filters selected
2020 SNAPSHOT 2019 SNAPSHOT FILTER DATA	 Total Interns International graduates Prevocational and unaccredited trainees 	Current filter selected 2022 (max. n=19,353) 2021 (max. n=18,245) 2020 (max. n=18,189) 2019 (max. n=8,062)	National response 2022 (max. n=19,353) 2021 (max. n=18,245) 2020 (max. n=18,189) 2019 (max. n=8,062)
	College	Recommend current training @	78% ↓ 78% 80% ↓ 80% 81% ↑ 78% 78%
	Postgraduate year	Recommend current workplace @	77% ↓ 79% ↓ 79% ↓ 81% ↑ 76% 76%
	State/Territory	Quality of clinical supervision $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$	86% 86% 86% 86% 87% 87% 84% 84%
	ACT NSW NT QLD SA TAS VIC WA	Quality of orientation @	73% ↓ 73% ↓ 74% 74% 74% 75% ↑ 75% ↑ 71% 71% 71%
	🛗 Hospital		82% 🔶

The filter data functionality enables you to compare responses from a cohort, postgraduate year, state/territory, hospital and/or region with the national response.

Select the required filter option then click *Apply* to display the results. Select up to one option in each filter category. The filters selected will be displayed below the chart produced.

Create your own report

To create your own report, select 'Create your own report' under the 'Results' menu.

Create a filtered report



Example test cases using filtered report functionality

1. Interns comparing 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022 dataset - chart format

To create this report using the filters:

- Step 1: Select chart option in 'Display as' tab
- Step 2: Select interns under the 'Doctor in training cohort' filter
- Step 3: Select Trend in the 'Data series' tab
- Step 4: Click on the 'Apply' button
- 2. Specialist trainees who are PGY3 2022 dataset table format

To create this report using the filters:

- Step 1: Select table option in 'Display as' tab
- Step 2: Select Specialist trainees in 'Doctor in training cohort' filter
- Step 3: Select PGY3 in 'Postgraduate Year' filter
- Step 4: Select Single year in the 'Data series' tab
- Step 5: Select 2022 in the 'Data series' filter in 'Advanced filters' section (current year is default)
- Step 6: Click on 'Apply' button

Create a report with comparisons

Choose single year. As comparisons adds subgroups as a data series, trends is disabled		Display as During Long Long Long Long Long Long Long Lo	
Select '+' symbol to expand to view filters		C Advanced taters ata series All selected (c) conter in training cohort	
Check option/s from drop-down lists. Selecting multiple options combines data		All selected [4] All Search 2 Select all 2 Menon 2 Menonitiest moderal products 2 Menonitiest moderal products 2 Specialist Internes All	A B Compared 1 Compared 1 Compared 2 Compared 2 Co
	0	Isstgraduate Year	Voe deelden Voe deelden Voe deelden Voe deelde twee deelde were deelde deeldeel
Refe 24 Corrent nr 24		All v All All All All All All All All All All	ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT und numerical submittant by auf provided to discrise in training CLINICAL SUPERVISION Results for publices and publy of clinical supervision and publy of clinical supervision and publy of clinical supervision
	16 	All Y	COESS TO TEACHING Result in the products and the focus of the scenes the transitiong and research and appendixelities available to Coessing of the scenes of the scenes the transitiong available to the scenes of th
Select '+' symbol to expand to view comparisons	a 1	Reset Apply amparison 1 State/Territory	WORKPLACE ENVIRONMENT AND CULTURE Thinks for particular data that workgases ensurement and address in the disclars in
Select relevant variables from drop down list. Up		La Tas. • omparison 2 State/Territory •	OVERALL SATISFATION Overall Statisfation and the state of the
to two different comparisons can		Reset Apply	FUTURE CASER INTERTIONS Select 'Apply' to update the report update the report

Example test case using comparison functionality

1. *NSW specialist trainees* compared with *Victorian specialist trainees* compared to national response for 2022 dataset- table format

To create this report:

Step 1: Choose Single year

Step 2: Select the table option in the 'Display as' tab

Step 3: Select Specialist trainees in the section under the 'Doctor in training cohort' filter

Step 4: Select State/Territory → NSW under the 'Comparison 1' tab

Step 5: Select State/Territory → Vic under the 'Comparison 2' tab

Step 6: Click on the 'Apply' button

2. Female trainees compared with male trainees - chart format

To create this report:

Step 1: Select the chart option in the 'Display as' tab

Step 2: Select Identified as → Female under the 'Comparison 1' tab

Step 3: Select Identified as \rightarrow Male under the 'Comparison 2' tab

Step 4: Click on the 'Apply' button